

A dataset of microclimate and radiation and energy fluxes from the Lake Taihu eddy flux network

Zhen Zhang^{1,2}, Mi Zhang^{1,2,4}, Chang Cao^{1,2}, Wei Wang^{1,2}, Wei Xiao^{1,2,4}, Chengyu Xie^{1,2}, Haoran Chu^{1,2}, Jiao Wang^{1,2}, Jiayu Zhao^{1,2}, Lei Jia^{1,2}, Qiang Liu^{1,2}, Wenjing Huang^{1,2}, Wenqing Zhang^{1,2}, Yang Lu^{1,2}, Yanhong Xie^{1,2}, Yi Wang^{1,2}, Yini Pu^{1,2}, Yongbo Hu^{1,2}, Zheng Chen^{1,2}, Zhihao Qin^{1,2}, and Xuhui Lee³

¹Yale-NUIST Center on Atmospheric Environment, International Joint Laboratory on Climate and Environment Change (ILCEC), Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China

²Key Laboratory of Meteorological Disaster, Ministry of Education and Collaborative Innovation Center on Forecast and Evaluation of Meteorological Disasters, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China ³School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

⁴NUIST-Wuxi Research Institute, Wuxi, Jiangsu Province, China

Correspondence: Xuhui Lee (xuhui.lee@yale.edu)

Received: 12 March 2020 – Discussion started: 17 June 2020 Revised: 30 August 2020 – Accepted: 17 September 2020 – Published: 31 October 2020

Abstract. Eddy covariance data are widely used for the investigation of surface–air interactions. Although numerous datasets exist in public depositories for land ecosystems, few research groups have released eddy covariance data collected over lakes. In this paper, we describe a dataset from the Lake Taihu eddy flux network, a network consisting of seven lake sites and one land site. Lake Taihu is the third-largest freshwater lake (area of 2400 km²) in China, under the influence of subtropical climate. The dataset spans the period from June 2010 to December 2018. Data variables are saved as half-hourly averages and include micrometeorology (air temperature, humidity, wind speed, wind direction, rainfall, and water or soil temperature profile), the four components of surface radiation balance, friction velocity, and sensible and latent heat fluxes. Except for rainfall and wind direction, all other variables are gap-filled, with each data point marked by a quality flag. Several areas of research can potentially benefit from the publication of this dataset, including evaluation of mesoscale weather forecast models, development of lake–air flux parameterizations, investigation of climatic controls on lake evaporation, validation of remote-sensing surface data products and global synthesis on lake–air interactions. The dataset is publicly available at https://yncenter.sites.yale.edu/data-access (last access: 24 October 2020) and from the Harvard Dataverse (https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/HEWCWM; Zhang et al., 2020).

1 Introduction

Inland lakes and reservoirs are a vital freshwater resource for the society. Globally, there are more than 27 million water bodies with size greater than 0.01 km^2 , occupying a total of 3.5 % of the earth's land surface area (Downing et al., 2006; Verpoorter et al., 2014). Accurate observation of the lake microclimate and lake–air interactions will help to better manage this water resource and to better predict how it may be affected by environmental changes. Towards that end, an increasing number of studies have employed the eddy covariance (EC) methodology to monitor physical state (temperature, wind, humidity) and process variables (momentum flux and radiation and energy fluxes) in the lake environment (Vesala et al., 2006; Blanken et al., 2011; Nordbo et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016; Du et al., 2015; Yusup and Liu, 2016; Du et al., 2018; Hamdani et al., 2018; Xiao et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019). Unlike EC studies in land ecosystems, however, data from these lake studies are rarely published as data papers or are rarely archived in public data depositories accessible by the broader scientific community. For example, of the nearly 500 sites that have contributed EC and micrometeorological data to AmeriFlux, a public data depository (https://ameriflux.lbl.gov/data/data-availability/, last access: 24 October 2020), none are a lake site. Although a few scientific groups have provided data supplements to their scientific papers on lake–air fluxes (e.g., Charusombat et al., 2018; Franz et al., 2018; Zhao and Liu, 2018), we are not aware of a data paper devoted to systematic description and archival of EC lake observations.

In this paper, we describe the dataset from the Lake Taihu eddy flux network (Lee et al., 2014). Established in 2010, the network currently consists of six active lake sites, one inactive lake site and one active land site. Lake Taihu is the third-largest freshwater lake (area of 2400 km^2) in China. Data variables are recorded at half-hourly intervals, and the measurement has continued for over 8 years. Several areas of research can potentially benefit from the publication of this dataset, including evaluation of mesoscale weather forecast models, development of lake–air flux parameterizations, investigation of climatic controls on lake evaporation, validation of remote-sensing surface data products and global synthesis on lake–air interactions.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is a brief overview of the sites and the instruments used by the network. This is followed, in Sect. 3, with a description of data quality measures employed during the field monitoring. Section 4 provides the essential information about the dataset, including data variables, gap-filling methods and data quality flags. Results of postfield evaluation of the data quality are given in Sect. 5.

Users of this dataset may be interested in the relevant papers published by our group. Lee et al. (2014) gave an overview of the Lake Taihu eddy flux network. Using the data collected at a subset of the sites and during the early phase of the network. Wang et al. (2014) investigated the spatial variability of energy and momentum fluxes across the lake. Xiao et al. (2013) improved the bulk parameterizations of heat, water and momentum fluxes for shallow lakes. Deng et al. (2013) and Hu et al. (2017) modified the Community Land Model (CLM) lake simulator (Subin et al., 2012) to improve its prediction of the lake evaporation. Wang et al. (2017) and X. Zhang et al. (2019) evaluated the performance of two mesoscale models of the lakeland breeze. More recently, Xiao et al. (2020) investigated drivers of the interannual variability of the lake evaporation observed at one of the lake sites (Bifenggang). The value of the dataset is enhanced by these peer-reviewed publications because they have helped us to continuously improve our measurement and data-processing protocols. For example, we have used the locally calibrated bulk parameterizations of Xiao et al. (2013) to gap-fill the flux variables.

2 Sites and instrumentation

2.1 Sites and data periods

Table 1 shows the basic site information, and Fig. 1 is a map that gives the relative position of Lake Taihu in China and locations of the EC measurement sites. Also shown in Fig. 1 are World Meteorological Organization (WMO) baseline weather stations around the lake, whose data can be obtained from the National Meteorological Information Center in China (http://data.cma.cn/site/index.html, last access: 24 October 2020). The lake, located between the latitudinal range of $30^{\circ}5'40''$ to $31^{\circ}32'58''$ N and longitudinal range of $119^{\circ}52'32''$ to $120^{\circ}36'10''$ E, has a total area of 2400 km^2 and an average depth of 1.9 m. The climate is subtropical monsoon, with an annual mean temperature of $16.2 \,^{\circ}$ C and annual total precipitation of 1122 mm. The lake is ice-free throughout the year.

The EC network consists of seven lake sites and one land site. The lake sites (Meiliangwan, MLW; Dapukou, DPK; Bifenggang, BFG; Xiaoleishan, XLS; Pingtaishan, PTS; Dongtaihu, DTH; Meiliangwan2, MLW2) are distributed according to biological characteristics and across eutrophication gradients of the lake. The MLW site, located in Meiliangwan Bay near the northern shore of Lake Taihu, was the first site in operation; the measurement began in June 2010 and was replaced by MLW2 in 2018, 10 km southwest of MLW. Both MLW and MLW2 sites are located in the lake eutrophic zone. BFG is located in the eastern part of Lake Taihu in relatively clean water inhabited by submerged vegetation with a growth season from April to November. DTH is located in the shallow water (mean depth of 1.3 m) in the southeastern part of the lake. After more than 20 years of crab aquaculture, this zone was returned to an unmanaged state in December 2018 in order to improve water quality. The observation at DTH enables the examination of lake-air exchange processes in the transition from human management to a natural state. PTS is situated in the middle of Lake Taihu, where occasional algal blooms occur, and no aquatic vegetation is present. DPK is located near the western shore, in a relatively deep (depth 2.5 m) super eutrophic zone due to heavy influence of agricultural and urban runoffs. XLS is located in the relatively clean and vegetation-free zone in the southeast. Finally, DS is a land site surrounded by rice agriculture, serving as a land reference for the lake sites. The MLW site is situated at a distance of 200 m from the northern shore of the lake. All the other lake sites in the lake are at a distance of more than 1 km away from the land.

The lake water level is monitored daily by the Taihu Basin Authority at five locations around the lake (http://www.tba. gov.cn/, last access: 24 October 2020). Using the water level time series, we have constructed the water depth for our eddy covariance sites (Fig. 2).

Site ID	MLW	DPK	BFG	XLS	PTS	MLW2	DTH	DS
Lat (° N) Long (°E)	31.4197 120.2139	31.2661 119.9312	31.1685 120.3972	30.9972 120.1344	31.2323 120.1086	31.3818 120.1608	31.0611 120.4704	31.0799 120.4346
Start date Biology	Jun 2010 Eutrophic	Aug 2011 Super eutrophic	Dec 2011 Submerged macrophyte	Nov 2012 Transitional	Jun 2013 Mesotrophic	Feb 2018 Eutrophic	Nov 2017 Aquaculture	Apr 2011 Cropland/ rural residence
Met height (m) $T_{\rm W}/T_{\rm S}$ depths (cm)	3.5 20, 50, 100, 150, sediment	8.0 20, 50, 100, 150, sediment	8.5 20, 50, 100, 150, sediment	9.4 20, 50, 100, 150, sediment	8.5 20, 50, 100, 150, sediment	6.0 20, 50, 100, 150, sediment	4.5 20, 50, sediment	10.0 5, 10, 20
Radiation height (m) EC height (m)	1.5 3.5	3.0 8.5	2.5 8.5	2.5 9.4	2.5 8.5	2.5 6.0	2.0 4.5	1.5 20

 Table 1. A list of sites in the Lake Taihu eddy flux network.



Figure 1. Map showing locations of Lake Taihu, eddy covariance sites (red bubbles) and WMO weather stations (yellow triangles). City names are shown in blue. DS is a land site, and MLW, MLW2, DPK, PTS, XLS, BFG and DTH are lake sites. The background is a natural color image from Landsat 8 without correction for atmospheric interference.

2.2 Instrumentation

Each site is equipped with an EC system for long-term, continuous monitoring of the surface momentum, sensible heat, latent heat and carbon dioxide fluxes. The EC system consists of a sonic anemometer and thermometer (Model CSAT3A; Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA) and a CO₂/H₂O infrared gas analyzer (Model 7500A, LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA at DS, MLW, MLW2 and DPK; Model EC150, Campbell Scientific, at other sites). The EC system is at a height of 3.5 to 9.4 m above the water surface at the lake sites and at a height of 20 m above the ground at the land site. Other measurements include air humidity and air temperature (Model HMP45D/HMP155A; Vaisala, Inc, Helsinki, Finland) as well as wind speed and wind direction (Model 03002; R. M. Young Company, Traverse City, MI, USA) and four components of the net radiation (Model CNR4; Kipp & Zonen B.V., Delft, the Netherlands). At the lake sites, water temperature profile was measured with temperature probes (Model 109-L; Campbell Scientific) at water depths of 20, 50, 100 and 150 cm and in the sediment at about 5 cm below

the bottom of the water column. The top four temperature sensors were tied to a nylon rope hanging from a buoy to ensure that they were at the designed depths regardless of water level fluctuations. At the DS land site, soil temperature profile was measured with the same type of probes at depths of 5, 10 and 20 cm. The MLW and the DS sites are supported by AC power, and other sites are powered by battery packs connected to solar panels. Measurements at the lake sites were made on fixed platforms. Readers are referred to Lee et al. (2014) and Xiao et al. (2017) for photographs of the platform and the instruments.

All the variables are reported as 30 min averages. The EC data are expressed in the natural coordinate system (Lee et al., 2004). In this coordinate system, the longitudinal coordinate axis is aligned with the 30 min mean velocity vector so that the 30 min mean lateral and vertical velocity components are 0, the magnitude of the mean velocity is equal to the mean longitudinal component, and the covariance between the lateral and the vertical velocity components is 0. Additionally, a



Figure 2. Water depth at the eddy covariance sites.

Table 2. Percent of data coverage. The percentage represents the proportion of high-quality original measurement.

Variable type	MLW	DPK	BFG	XLS	PTS	DTH	MLW2	DS
Micrometeorology	93.3	81.1	97.6	97.0	97.5	98.1	90.3	91.7
Radiation flux	85.5	90.8	96.9	97.4	98.6	98.2	98.2	82.7
Water or soil temperature	83.4	81.3	94.0	91.1	90.3	87.7	22.4	98.4
Eddy flux	73.3	61.8	82.7	79.1	80.6	85.7	85.5	82.8

Table 3. A list of data quality flags

Flag	Data quality description		
0	Original data		
1	Gap-filled with time interpolation		
2	Gap-filled with spatial interpolation		
3	Gap-filled with bulk relationship		
4	NAN		

small density correction has been applied to the water vapor flux according to Webb et al. (1980).

3 Data quality control during field monitoring

Every site in the Lake Taihu eddy flux network is equipped with a wireless transmission module for real-time monitoring and for data transmission. Time series of all 30 min variables are examined weekly, and abnormal behaviors are flagged for site operators. Each site is visited every 1 to 2 months to perform instrument repair and maintenance and to download 10 Hz EC data. The data coverage rates are summarized in Table 2, where the percentage values represent the proportions of data with quality flag 0, which indicates high-quality original measurement (Table 3).

The four-way net radiometers at MLW and XLS were compared in the field against a laboratory standard of the same type in the summer of 2018 to check their long-term stability (Fig. 3). These two sites were chosen because they have been in operation for more than 5 years. Additionally, the radiometer at MLW was relocated to MLW2 after MLW had been discontinued. The laboratory standard, which had been calibrated at the manufacturer prior to this performance evaluation, was mounted next to the field instrument for about 10 d at each site, covering overcast to clear-sky conditions. The mean bias error was smaller than 1 W m⁻² for all the radiation components. It was -0.81, -0.81, 0.79 and -0.44 W m⁻² for the downward shortwave, upward shortwave, downward longwave and upward longwave radiation flux at MLW, respectively. The corresponding values were 0.91, 0.40, 0.69 and 0.77 W m⁻² for XLS. (Comparison experiments are being planned for the other sites.)

The EC gas analyzers were calibrated every 1 to 2 years. The zero-point calibration was carried out with high-purity nitrogen gas, the CO₂ span calibration was made with standard carbon dioxide gases (in the concentration range of 389 to 525 ppm) provided by the National Institute of Metrology of China (NIM) and certified to an accuracy of 1 %, and the H₂O span calibration was made with a portable dew point generator (LI-610; LI-COR, Inc.).



Figure 3. Comparison of four components of the radiation balance between the original radiometer (horizontal axis) and a laboratory standard (vertical axis) at MLW and XLS. Refer to Table 4 for variable definitions.

4 Gap-filling methods and data quality flags

We use a five-point moving average to screen outliers. If the deviation from the moving average is greater than 2 standard deviations, the data point is discarded. If a gap length is 30 min to 1 h, the gap is filled by linear interpolation. Larger gaps in meteorological variables, radiation components and water temperature are filled with linear regression involving observation of the same variable at another site. This spatial interpolation consists of three steps. First, linear correlation is calculated using the valid data at the target site and at all other sites for the month during which the data gap occurred. Second, the observation at the site with the highest linear correlation is used to establish a linear-regression equation. Third, the gap at the target site is filled with the linear regression and the observation at that site.

Radiation data gaps at the DS land site require special treatment. The radiometer at the DS eddy flux site ended in January 2013. Subsequent measurements of the radiation component are provided by a radiometer belonging to the Dongshan WMO weather station at a distance of 50 m from the eddy covariance tower (Fig. 1). While large gaps in meteorological variables (air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and air pressure), downward solar radiation and downward longwave radiation are filled with the spatial interpolation method, large gaps in upward shortwave radiation and upward longwave radiation cannot be filled with data from other lake sites even with linear regression. In the case of the upward shortwave radiation, the data gaps were filled using the relationship between downward shortwave radiation and the monthly mean albedo. In the case of upward longwave radiation, the data gaps were filled by a regression equation between the upward longwave radiation and the fourth power of soil temperature at 5 cm depth. Compared to the original data, the gap-filled data do not capture the full diurnal variations because the 5 cm soil temperature has smaller diurnal amplitudes than the soil surface temperature, but the daily mean upward longwave radiation flux seems reasonable.

Large data gaps in the EC variables (sensible heat flux, latent heat flux and friction velocity) are filled with a hybrid method. First, if observations exist for the relevant state variable, the gap is filled with the bulk transfer relationship using a transfer coefficient tuned locally for each site (Xiao et al., 2013). For example, the relationship for filling gaps in the sensible heat flux is

$H = \rho_{\rm a} c_{\rm p} C_H U (T_{\rm s} - T_{\rm a}),$

where ρ_a is air density, c_p is specific heat of air at constant pressure, C_H is the transfer coefficient for sensible heat, T_a is air temperature, and T_s is water surface temperature. The transfer coefficient C_H is determined from the observed Hand the state variables $(U, T_a \text{ and } T_s)$ outside gap periods. The missing data on H are then filled with the above relationship using the tuned C_H and the observed U, T_a and T_s . Second, if data for the state variables are missing, the spatial interpolation method is used to fill the gaps in these EC variables.

The spatial interpolation method described above occasionally causes a sudden jump at the beginning or end of a data gap. To harmonize the data, we apply a five-point moving average to the gap-filled time series. If a data point deviates by 2 times the standard deviation from the moving average, it is replaced by linear interpolation using the two adjacent data points.

Each data variable is assigned a quality flag to distinguish original measurements and gap-filled values and gap-filling

Table 4. A list of data columns a	and variable	definitions.
-----------------------------------	--------------	--------------

Column	Description	Variable name	Unit
1	Year	Year	_
2	Month	Month	_
3	Day	Day	_
4	Hour	нн	_
5	Minute	MM	_
6	Day of Year	DOY	_
7	Air pressure	Р	kPa
8	Quality flag of air pressure	P_flag	
9	Air temperature	Ta	°C
10	Quality flag of air temperature	T _a _flag	
11	Relative humidity	RH	%
12	Quality flag of relative humidity	RH_flag	
13	Wind speed	WS	${ m ms^{-1}}$
14	Quality flag of wind speed	WS_flag	
15	Wind direction	WD	0
16	Quality flag of wind direction	WD_flag	
17	Rainfall	R	mm
18	Quality flag of rainfall	<i>R</i> _flag	
19	Upward shortwave radiation	UR	${ m W}{ m m}^{-2}$
20	Quality flag of upward shortwave radiation	UR_flag	
21	Downward shortwave radiation	DR	${ m W}{ m m}^{-2}$
22	Quality flag of downward shortwave radiation	DR flag	
23	Upward longwave radiation	ULR	$\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$
24	Ouality flag of upward longwave radiation	ULR flag	
25	Downward longwave radiation	DLR	$\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$
26	Quality flag of downward longwave radiation	DLR flag	
27	Water temperature at 0.2 m	$T_{\rm w} = 20$	°C
28	Ouality flag of water temperature at 0.2 m	$T_{\rm w} = 20$ flag	
29	Water temperature at 0.5 m	$T_w = 50$	°C
30	Quality flag of water temperature at 0.5 m	$T_{\rm w}$ 50 flag	
31	Water temperature at 1.0 m	$T_{\rm w} = 100$	°C
32	Ouality flag of water temperature at 1.0 m	$T_{\rm w}$ 100 flag	
33	Water temperature at 1.5 m	$T_{\rm w} = 150$	°C
34	Quality flag of water temperature at 1.5 m	$T_{\rm w}$ _150_flag	
35	Sediment temperature	$T_{\rm w}$ _bot	°C
36	Quality flag of sediment temperature	$T_{\rm w}$ bot flag	
37	Friction velocity	U^*	${ m ms^{-1}}$
38	Quality flag of friction velocity	U^* flag	
39	Sensible heat flux	 Н	$\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$
40	Ouality flag of sensible heat flux	H flag	
41	Latent heat flux	LE	$W m^{-2}$
42	Quality flag of latent heat flux	LE_flag	

Notes: (1) time marks end of a half-hourly observation in Beijing time (UTC+8); (2) at the DS site, columns 27, 29 and 31 represent soil temperature at 5, 10 and 20 cm, respectively; column 33 represents soil heat flux G (W m⁻²) measured at 5 cm depth; and column 34 represents quality flag of soil heat flux.

methods (Table 3). The data flags employed here should not be confused with quality flags commonly assigned to the EC methodology in the literature. Specifically, Flag 0 indicates high-quality original data. Other flag values indicate gapfilled data or missing values. Flag 1 indicates that the data were filled by temporal interpolation. Flag 2 indicates that the data were filled by the spatial interpolation method. Flag 3 for the EC variables indicates that the data were filled by the bulk relationship. We also use Flag 3 to mark the upward shortwave and longwave radiation data filled with the albedo and the surface temperature relationship, respectively, for the DS land site. Missing values occur in some situations, which are marked with Flag 4. Figure 4 is an example showing the gap-filled time series of several variables at BFG along with the flag status.



Figure 4. Complete gap-filled time series for selected variables observed at BFG. Blue, black, cyan and red dots represent quality flag 0, 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Variable definitions are given in Table 4.

Rainfall data have not been quantity-controlled or gapfilled. Because of the episodic nature of rainstorms and high spatial variability of rainfall, it is not appropriate to fill data gaps with the time interpolation or spatial-interpolation method. The total rain amount is likely biased low because no wind screens are used to protect the rain gages from the influence of wind which is much higher on the lake than on land (Fig. 5 below). On several site visits, the drain opening to the tipping bucket was found to be partially blocked by debris. Rain amount at a constant and low rate and excessively long rain duration are evidence of such blockage. The flag status of 0 for the rainfall variable simply indicates that the field measurement is available, but it does not guarantee high data quality.

The data coverage begins from the start time of each site (Table 1) and ends in December 2018. The time resolution is 30 min. The dataset includes microclimate variables (air pressure, air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, wind direction and rainfall); radiation fluxes (upward and downward shortwave radiation, upward and downward longwave radiation); water temperature at depths of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 m and in the 5 cm sediment; and eddy fluxes (friction velocity, sensible heat and latent heat fluxes; Table 4). The time stamp is Beijing time (UTC+8), given by data columns 1 to 5 as year, month, day, hour and minute, and

marks the end of the observation period. For example, time stamp "2012, 1, 1, 12, 00" indicates that the data acquisition period is from 11:30 to 12:00 UTC+8 on 1 January 2012.

Although the data table does not include the radiative surface temperature T_s , the user can easily calculate it from the two longwave radiation fluxes as

$$T_{\rm s} = \left(\frac{L_{\uparrow} - (1 - \varepsilon)L_{\downarrow}}{\varepsilon\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

where σ is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant; ε is emissivity; and L_{\uparrow} and L_{\downarrow} are upward and downward longwave radiation flux, respectively. We use a value of 0.97 for lake surface emissivity in this calculation (Deng et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014).

5 Data consistency evaluation

Figure 5 compares the annual mean air temperature, relative humidity and wind speed at the Taihu eddy flux sites with those at the four WMO weather stations (Wuxi, Liyang, Huzhou and Dongshan) around the lake (Fig. 1). The error bars represent the maximum and minimum values among the four WMO stations, and the lines represent the mean values of the four station measurements. The annual mean air temperature at DTH is 0.3 °C higher than the station mean.



Figure 5. Annual mean air temperature (**a**), relative humidity (**b**) and wind speed (**c**) observed at the eddy flux sites (symbols) and at the four WMO weather stations around the lake (line). Error bars represent the range of the annual means of the four WMO stations.

At other sites, air temperature is in close agreement with the weather station data in terms of both magnitude and interannual variability. The annual mean wind speed at MLW, a site near the shoreline, is comparable with the station data. At other more exposed sites, the wind speed is much higher than observed at the WMO stations. The annual mean relative humidity (RH) shows a larger spread among the eddy flux sites than among the WMO stations partly because the measurement height at the eddy flux sites is not standardized (Table 1). The upward trends in RH over time at DPK and XLS seem to be related more to aging of the sensor than to a real interannual variability. We have not fully investigated this aging problem, but it is possible to rectify it by doing a detailed regression analysis against the station data.

Consistency of the energy flux variables can be evaluated with the energy balance closure. Using observations made at a subset of the sites in the earlier years of the flux network, Wang et al. (2014) reported a closure rate of 70 % to 110 % on a monthly basis, meaning that the sum of the measured monthly sensible and the latent heat flux $H + \lambda E$ is 70 % to 110 % of the monthly available energy $R_n - G$, where R_n is net radiation, and G is heat storage in the water column. By selecting days without data gaps, we found that the daily energy balance closure is in the range between 66 % and 78 % for all the lake sites and all the years. Such closure rates are typical of eddy covariance observations (Tanny et al., 2008; Wilson et al., 2002).

We have shown that the monthly latent heat flux at the lake sites MLW, BFG and DPK during July 2010 to August 2012 follows the Priestley–Taylor (PT) model prediction with the



Figure 6. Comparison of observed monthly latent heat flux with Priestley–Taylor model prediction using the original α coefficient of 1.26 and a modified coefficient of 1.03. Here R_n is net radiation, *G* is heat storage in the water column, Δ is the slope of the saturation vapor pressure curve, and γ is the psychrometric constant.

original PT constant α of 1.26 and that at the DS land site it is in agreement with the PT model if the constant is lowered to 1.0 (Lee et al., 2014). Figure 6 demonstrates that the same relationships hold for all the sites and all the observational months, indicating the overall stability of our measurement systems and the robustness of our gap-filling procedure.



Figure 7. The relationship between changes in observed annual mean upward longwave radiation flux and annual mean air temperature (dots). Solid lines represent the prediction of the Stefan–Boltzmann law.

The reader is reminded that the monthly latent heat flux in Fig. 6 has been adjusted to force energy closure following the method recommended by Barr et al. (1994), Blanken et al. (1997) and Twine et al. (2000). (The half-hourly flux data in the data archive have not been adjusted for energy balance.)

The Stefan–Boltzmann law offers another way for checking data consistency. Because the lake surface emits longwave radiation like a blackbody and because the annual mean air temperature and the surface water temperature are nearly identical at this lake (Wang et al., 2014), the change in the annual upward longwave radiation ΔL_{\uparrow} can be expressed as

 $\Delta L_{\uparrow} = 4\sigma T_{\rm a}^3 \Delta T_{\rm a},$

where T_a is annual mean air temperature, and Δ is the difference between the target year and the year with the lowest air temperature observed at the site. All the five long-term lake sites show good consistency between the longwave radiation and the air temperature observations (Fig. 7).

Table 5 is a summary of the uncertainty of key measurement variables at half-hourly intervals. The performance uncertainty is 1 standard deviation of difference in a variable measured by the field instrument and the same variable measured by a validation instrument (the closed-path EC in the case of eddy fluxes and the laboratory standard radiometer in the case of the radiation fluxes). The environmental uncertainty is 1 standard deviation of spatial variation in a variable measured at multiple lake sites.

6 Data availability

All data are open-access and are available online for download and use at https://yncenter.sites.yale.edu/data-access (last access: 24 October 2020) and from the Harvard Dataverse (https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/HEWCWM; Zhang et al., 2020).

7 Summary

The dataset described here consists of microclimate variables (air temperature, air humidity, wind speed, wind direction,

Table 5. Uncertainty of key measurement variables at half-hourly intervals. Instrument uncertainty is provided by the manufacturers. Performance uncertainty is 1 standard deviation of the difference between measurements made by the field instrument and the validation instrument. Environmental uncertainty is the spatial standard deviation of the variable measured at the lake sites.

Variable	Uncertainty	Period of evaluation		
Instrument uncertainty				
Р	± 0.3 hPa			
Ta	±0.2 °C			
RH	$\pm 2\%$			
WS	$\pm 0.3\mathrm{ms^{-1}}$			
WD	$\pm 3^{\circ}$			
UR/DR	< 5 %			
ULR/DLR	< 10 %			
$T_{\rm W}$	±0.6 °C			
Performance	uncertainty			
UR	$\pm 2.1\mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$	29 June–8 July 2018,		
		6-15 October 2018		
DR	$\pm 8.0\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$	29 June-8 July 2018,		
		6-15 October 2018		
ULR	$\pm 0.5\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$	29 June-8 July 2018,		
		6-15 October 2018		
DLR	$\pm 1.3\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$	29 June-8 July 2018,		
		6-15 October 2018		
U^*	$\pm 0.06\mathrm{ms^{-1}}$	13 July-23 August 2020		
Н	$\pm 3.1\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$	13 July-23 August 2020		
LE	$\pm 21.2\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$	13 July-23 August 2020		
Environmental uncertainty				
Water depth	$\pm 0.06 \mathrm{m}$	1 September 2017–		
-		31 August 2018		
Ta	± 0.50 °C	1–31 July 2018		
DR	$\pm 36.3 { m W} { m m}^{-2}$	1-31 July 2018		

water or soil temperature profile, and rainfall), four components of the radiation balance, friction velocity, and sensible and latent heat fluxes observed at seven lake sites and one land site. The period of coverage is from June 2010 to December 2018. The observation interval is 30 min. Except for rainfall and wind direction, all other variables have been gapfilled. Every data point is tagged with a data quality flag to help the user determine how to best use the data.

Author contributions. XL, WX and MZ directed the field program; ZZ performed data gap-filling and prepared the data for public release; CC, WW, CX, HC, JW, JZ, LJ, QL, WH, WZ, YL, YX, YW, YP, YH, ZC and ZQ participated in field data collection; and ZZ, XL and MZ wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (grant no. 2019YFA0607202), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 41575147, 41801093, 41475141), and the Priority Academic Program Development (PAPD) of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

Review statement. This paper was edited by David Carlson and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Barr, A. G., King, K. M., Gillespie, T. J., Den Hartog, G., and Neumann, H. H.: A comparison of Bowen ratio and eddy correlation sensible and latent heat flux measurements above deciduous forest, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 71, 21–41, 1994.
- Blanken, P. D., Black, T. A., Yang, P. C., Neumann, H. H., Nesic, Z., Staebler, R., Den Hartog, G., Novak, M. D., and Lee, X.: Energy balance and canopy conductance of a boreal aspen forest: partitioning overstory and understory components, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 102, 28915–28927, 1997.
- Blanken, P. D., Spence, C., Hedstrom, N., and Lenters, J. D.: Evaporation from Lake Superior: 1. Physical controls and processes, J. Great Lakes Res., 37, 707–716, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2011.08.009, 2011.
- Charusombat, U., Fujisaki-Manome, A., Gronewold, A. D., Lofgren, B. M., Anderson, E. J., Blanken, P. D., Spence, C., Lenters, J. D., Xiao, C., Fitzpatrick, L. E., and Cutrell, G.: Evaluating and improving modeled turbulent heat fluxes across the North American Great Lakes, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 22, 5559–5578, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-5559-2018, 2018.
- Deng, B., Liu, S., Xiao, W., Wang, W., Jin, J., and Lee, X.: Evaluation of the CLM4 lake model at a large and shallow freshwater lake, J. Hydrometeorol., 14, 636–649, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-12-067.1, 2013.

- Downing, J. A., Prairie, Y. T., Cole, J. J., Duarte, C. M., Tranvik, L. J., Striegl, R. G., McDowell, W. H., Kortelainen, P., Caraco, N. F., Melack, J. M., and Middelburg, J. J.: The global abundance and size distribution of lakes, ponds, and impoundments, Limnol. Oceanogr., 51, 2388–2397, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.5.2388, 2006.
- Du, Q., Liu, H., Xu, L., Liu, Y., and Wang, L.: The monsoon effect on energy and carbon exchange processes over a highland lake in the southwest of China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 15087–15104, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-15087-2018, 2018.
- Franz, D., Mammarella, I., Boike, J., Kirillin, G., Vesala, T., Bornemann, N., Larmanou, E., Langer, M., and Sachs, T.: Lakeatmosphere heat flux dynamics of a thermokarst lake in arctic Siberia, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 123, 5222–5239, 2018.
- Franz, D., Mammarella, I., Boike, J., Kirillin, G., Vesala, T., Bornemann, N., Larmanou, E., Langer, M., and Sachs, T.: Lakeatmosphere heat flux dynamics of a thermokarst lake in arctic Siberia, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 123, 5222–5239, 2018.
- Hamdani, I., Assouline, S., Tanny, J., Lensky, I. M., Gertman, I., Mor, Z., and Lensky, N. G.: Seasonal and diurnal evaporation from a deep hypersaline lake: the Dead Sea as a case study, J. Hydrol., 562, 155–167, 2018.
- Hu, C., Wang, Y., Wang, W., Liu, S., Piao, M., Xiao, W., and Lee, X.: Trends in evaporation of a large subtropical lake, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 129, 159–170, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1768-z, 2017.
- Lee, X., Massman, W., and Law, B.: Handbook of micrometeorology: a guide for surface flux measurement and analysis, Springer Science & Business Media, 2004.
- Lee, X., Liu, S., Xiao, W., Wang, W., Gao, Z., Cao, C., Hu, C., Hu, Z., Shen, S., Wang, Y., Wen, X., Xiao, Q., Xu, J., Yang, J., and Zhang, M.: The taihu eddy flux network: An observational program on energy, water, and greenhouse gas fluxes of a large freshwater lake, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 95, 1583–1594, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00136.1, 2014.
- Li, Z., Lyu, S., Ao, Y., Wen, L., Zhao, L., and Wang, S.: Longterm energy flux and radiation balance observations over Lake Ngoring, Tibetan Plateau, Atmos. Res., 155, 13–25, 2015.
- Nordbo, A., Launiainen, S., Mammarella, I., Leppäranta, M., Huotari, J., Ojala, A., and Vesala, T.: Long-term energy flux measurements and energy balance over a small boreal lake using eddy covariance technique, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 116, D02119, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014542, 2011.
- Subin, Z. M., Riley, W. J., and Mironov, D.: An improved lake model for climate simulations: Model structure, evaluation, and sensitivity analyses in CESM1, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 4, 1–27, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011MS000072, 2012.
- Tanny, J., Cohen, S., Assouline, S., Lange, F., Grava, A., Berger, D., Teltch, B., and Parlange, M. B.: Evaporation from a small water reservoir: Direct measurements and estimates, J. Hydrol., 351, 218–229, 2008.
- Twine, T. E., Kustas, W. P., Norman, J. M., Cook, D. R., Houser, Pr., Meyers, T. P., Prueger, J. H., Starks, P. J., and Wesely, M. L.: Correcting eddy-covariance flux underestimates over a grassland, Agr. For. Meteorol., 103, 279–300, 2000.
- Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., Seekell, D. A., and Tranvik, L. J.: A global inventory of lakes based on high-resolution satellite imagery, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 6396–6402, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060641, 2014.

Z. Zhang et al.: Dataset from the Lake Taihu eddy flux network

- Vesala, T., Huotari, J., Rannik, Ü., Suni, T., Smolander, S., Sogachev, A., Launiainen, S., and Ojala, A.: Eddy covariance measurements of carbon exchange and latent and sensible heat fluxes over a boreal lake for a full open-water period, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006365, 2006.
- Wang, B., Ma, Y., Wang, Y., Su, Z., and Ma, W.: Significant differences exist in lake-atmosphere interactions and the evaporation rates of high-elevation small and large lakes, J. Hydrol., 573, 220–234, 2019.
- Wang, W., Xiao, W., Cao, C., Gao, Z., Hu, Z., Liu, S., Shen, S., Wang, L., Xiao, Q., Xu, J., Yang, D., and Lee, X.: Temporal and spatial variations in radiation and energy balance across a large freshwater lake in China, J. Hydrol., 511, 811–824, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.02.012, 2014.
- Wang, Y., Gao, Y., Qin, H., Huang, J., Liu, C., Hu, C., Wang, W., Liu, S., and Lee, X.: Spatiotemporal Characteristics of Lake Breezes over Lake Taihu, China, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 56, 2053–2065, 2017.
- Webb, E. K., Pearman, G. I., and Leuning, R.: Correction of flux measurements for density effects due to heat and water vapour transfer, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 106, 85–100, 1980.
- Wilson, K., Goldstein, A., Falge, E., Aubinet, M., Baldocchi, D., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Ceulemans, R., Dolman, H., and Field, C.: Energy balance closure at FLUXNET sites, Agr. For. Meteorol., 113, 223–243, 2002.
- Xiao, K., Griffis, T. J., Baker, J. M., Bolstad, P. V, Erickson, M. D., Lee, X., Wood, J. D., Hu, C., and Nieber, J. L.: Evaporation from a temperate closed-basin lake and its impact on present, past, and future water level, J. Hydrol., 561, 59–75, 2018.

- Xiao, W., Liu, S., Wang, W., Yang, D., Xu, J., Cao, C., Li, H., and Lee, X.: Transfer Coefficients of Momentum, Heat and Water Vapour in the Atmospheric Surface Layer of a Large Freshwater Lake, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 148, 479–494, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-013-9827-9, 2013.
- Xiao, W., Zhang, Z., Wang, W., Zhang, M., Liu, Q., Hu, Y., Huang, W., Liu, S., and Lee, X.: Radiation Controls the Interannual Variability of Evaporation of a Subtropical Lake, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 125, p.e2019JD031264, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031264, 2020.
- Yusup, Y. and Liu, H.: Effects of Atmospheric Surface Layer Stability on Turbulent Fluxes of Heat and Water Vapor across the Water–Atmosphere Interface, J. Hydrometeorol., 17, 2835–2851, 2016.
- Zhang, X., Huang, J., Li, G., Wang, Y., Liu, C., Zhao, K., Tao, X., Hu, X.-M., and Lee, X.: Improving Lake-Breeze Simulation with WRF Nested LES and Lake Model over a Large Shallow Lake, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 58, 1689–1708, 2019.
- Zhang, Z., Zhang, M., Cao, C., Wang, W., Xiao, W., Xie, C., Chu, H., Wang, J., Zhao, jiayu, Jia, L., Liu, Q., Huang, W., Zhang, W., Lu, Y., Xie, Y., Wang, Y., Pu, Y., Hu, Y., Chen, Z., Qin, Z., and Lee, X.: A dataset of microclimate and radiation and energy fluxes from the Lake Taihu Eddy Flux Network, Harvard Dataverse, https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/HEWCWM, 2020.
- Zhao, X. and Liu, Y.: Variability of surface heat fluxes and its driving forces at different time scales over a large ephemeral lake in China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 123, 4939–4957, 2018.